



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,451 05/08/2001		05/08/2001	Jong-Kwang Kim	678-657 (P9453)	4167	
28249	7590	06/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553				FLANDERS, ANDREW C		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2644	2644 DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005	
				DATE MAIL ED: 06/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/851,451	KIM, JONG-KWANG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrew C. Flanders	2644		

zororo mo i mig or an i ippour ziror	cxaminer	Art Unit							
	Andrew C. Flanders	2644							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 17 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date or	f the final rejection								
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).									
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	• ——	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling						
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	. •	•							
Claim(s) rejected:	•	•							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr		, ,,	•						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by The Arguments are not Persuasive.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).									
13. Other:									
	SL	VIVIÁN CHIN I PERVISORY PATENT E)	CAMINER						

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran (U.S. Patent 6,359,987) in view of Tan (U.S. Patent 6,449,371).

Claims 1 –5 remain rejected under the same grounds as disclosed in the office action dated 28 March 2005.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 17 June 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts:

"More specifically, both independent Claims 1 and 5, recite that a sense signal, which indicates the type of speaker device connected to the audio device, is generated by the ear jack. However, the computer in Tran determines whether connected speakers are self-powered or passively driven by detecting an impedance level of the connected speakers, not from a sensing signal generated by the ear jack, as recited in Claims 1 and 5. Further, in Tran a computer processing unit is utilized to detect the impedance level, not the ear jack. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that a uniquely configured ear jack that can directly generate, at the ear jack, a sensing signal, thereby eliminating the need to determine the impedance level of the attached speakers, is not taught in Tan. "

Art Unit: 2644

Examiner has noted this argument and considers it moot. First, Applicant is suggesting that the sense signal of Tran is not generated at the ear jack. Examiner agrees with this assertion. However, as shown in the previous rejection dated 28 March 2005, the impedance detection circuitry, element 64 in figure 2 determines the impedance and once it is determined the system disables the amplification accordingly. Thus, there is an inherent "sense signal" passed to the system from the multimedia detection circuitry, i.e. the impedance is sensed and then sent to the system for proper amplification. This is further evidenced by the text in col. 5 lines 22 – 40. While Tran is not generating this signal at the audio connector, figure 2 element 66, the multimedia detection circuitry, figure 2 element 64, is coupled in series with the audio connector. Furthermore, the previous action states specificly "...neither Tran nor Tan disclose generating the sense signal at the ear jack. However, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to integrate the multimedia speaker diction circuit and audio connector (fig. 2 elements 64 and 66) since it has been held that making parts integral is obvious if the resulting element perform the same functions as before, see In re Larson 340 F.2d 965, 968, 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965). Integrating these two elements would thus generate a sense signal at the ear jack." Integrating these two blocks would not have changed the functionality of Tran's invention and thus renders obvious applicant's claimed invention in claims 1 and 5.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew C. Flanders whose telephone number is (571) 272-7516. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

acf